

***AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT***  
***ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT***  
***NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST***

**MARCH 2005**

## **ORGANIZATION OF THIS DOCUMENT**

THIS DOCUMENT IS ORGANIZED INTO FOUR CHAPTERS AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION AS FOLLOWS:

**CHAPTER 1** – DESCRIBES THE PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION, THE PROPOSED ACTION, AND THE SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.

**CHAPTER 2** – DESCRIBES THE ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED ACTIONS AND PRESENTS AND COMPARES ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION.

**CHAPTER 3** – DESCRIBES THE PHYSICAL, BIOLOGICAL, AND SOCIAL SETTING OF THE ANALYSIS AREA AS THEY EXIST TODAY AND ARE TRENDING TOWARDS INTO THE FUTURE BASED ON IMPLEMENTATION OF ANY OF THE ALTERNATIVES DESCRIBED IN CHAPTER 2, INCLUDING THE NO ACTION.

**CHAPTER 4** - LISTS THE INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS DOCUMENT.

**APPENDICES** – PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR THE READER AND INCLUDES A MAP LIST, GLOSSARY, REFERENCES, AND ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

***AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT***  
***ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT***  
***MARCH 2005***

NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST  
RED RIVER RANGER DISTRICT  
IDAHO COUNTY, IDAHO



**LEAD AGENCY:**

USDA FOREST SERVICE

**RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:**

JANE L COTTRELL  
FOREST SUPERVISOR  
ROUTE 2 BOX 475  
GRANGEVILLE, ID 83530  
208-983-1950

**FOR FURTHER  
INFORMATION:**

SCOTT RUSSELL  
PROJECT MANAGER  
NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST  
ROUTE 2 BOX 475  
GRANGEVILLE, ID 83530  
208-983-1950

OR

TERRY NEVIUS  
DISTRICT RANGER  
RED RIVER RANGER DISTRICT  
NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST  
P.O. BOX 416  
ELK CITY, ID 83525  
208-842-2245

## **SUMMARY**

The Forest Service has prepared this draft environmental impact statement to disclose potential effects of the proposed action and the alternatives to the proposed action within and surrounding the American and Crooked River project area in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other relevant Federal and State laws and regulations. The project area is located within the Red River Ranger District on the Nez Perce National Forest in Idaho. This Final Environmental Impact statement discloses direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts and irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources that would result from implementation of the proposed action and alternatives.

The project area is located in two separate areas within the Nez Perce National Forest in Idaho County. Portions of the American and Crooked River watersheds are contained in the project area boundary and are located in the Clearwater Mountains of the Rocky Mountain physiographic province. The American River watershed is located north and northeast of Elk City, while the Crooked River watershed is located west and southwest of Elk City. The project area, which encompasses approximately 39,000 acres, lies north and east of the town of Orogrande and includes National Forest System lands around the Elk City Township.

### **PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES**

The purpose of the project is to reduce existing and potential forest fuels, create conditions that will contribute to sustaining long-lived fire tolerant tree species (ponderosa pine, western larch) and contribute to the economic and social well-being of people who use and reside within the surrounding area.

The Forest Plan provides direction for the management of the American and Crooked River project area and the desired future condition. The purpose and need for this project was determined after comparing the desired future condition and the existing condition of the American and Crooked River project area. The area's existing condition was determined using field data and the findings and recommendations from the South Fork Clearwater River Landscape Assessment (SFLA). It is important to note that the South Fork Clearwater River Landscape Assessment is not a decision document and the recommendations contained within the SFLA were considered as recommendations and not direction. This analysis addresses only a few of the overall package of actions that were recommended in these documents.

### **THE OBJECTIVES OF THIS PROJECT ARE TO:**

Promote the health and vigor of timber stands and improve the environment for long-lived, fire resistant species by reducing densities of lodgepole pine or other small diameter trees that provide fuel ladders for development of crown fires,

Increase relative proportions of long-lived, fire resistant tree species by restoring or regenerating to western larch, ponderosa pine, and by protecting large diameter ponderosa pine, Douglas fir, and western larch,

Reduce the risk of large-scale crown fire spread by creating vegetative patterns, including fuel breaks and safety areas, through harvest or silvicultural treatments, that would increase fire suppression and management effectiveness, and

Reduce the likelihood of severe local fire effects by removing dead, dying, and downed trees that would otherwise result in high fuel loading.

## **THE PROPOSED ACTION**

The American-Crooked River Project proposes to treat up to 3,452 acres with 1,170 acres in the American River Watershed and 1,816 acres in the Crooked River watershed. The watershed restoration associated with this project would includes various types of restoration, such as up to 24.6 miles of road improvement for watershed improvement of which 16.6 miles is required with 8 miles approved as funding allows. Soil restoration on up to 58 acres is proposed with 32 acres of soil restoration required. The following table is a summary of proposed activities associated with this project. Two numbers in the column divided by a slash indicate the amount of required first and additional projects (dependent on available funding) second.

## **THE ISSUES**

The Forest Service worked closely with the public to identify issues and concerns. A comment period last fall produced 20 letters from the public, and state and federal agencies. These responses were condensed into two substantive issue areas. These are effects to water quality and fish habitat and the fuel reduction effectiveness. There are no impacts to terrestrial threatened and endangered species, and heritage resources, but a summary of impacts are listed below in the general projects impacts of interest, because some commenters had an interest in these areas.

## **THE ALTERNATIVES**

The alternatives in this document were analyzed by their effect to the substantive issue areas. Indicators were developed to compare the effects. A summary of the effects can be found in the following section. The summary table below describes the treatments. It shows the total acres to be treated by alternative. Alternative D, (the preferred alternative) includes both required watershed restoration actions, and additional watershed restorations actions that have been analyzed and may be implemented if funding is available. The minimum watershed restoration that will be completed as part of this alternative is what is displayed as required. If funding is available, the watershed restoration could be up to the amount in Alternative E, and is displayed as additional.

**TABLE 0.1: – COMBINED ALTERNATIVE OVERVIEW FOR AMERICAN/CROOKED RIVER WATERSHEDS**

Proposed Activity – Total Project		Alt B	Alt C	Alt D <sup>1</sup>	Alt E
Acres of Treatment	Tractor Yard/Machine Pile	1,138	1,172	1,813	901
	Cable Yard/Broadcast Burn	945	1,095	1,173	780
	Roadside Salvage	467	477	466	475
	<b>Total Acres Treated</b>	<b>2,550</b>	<b>2,744</b>	<b>3,452</b>	<b>2,156</b>
	Percent Clearcut	42%	42%	34%	28%
	Percent Partial Cut/Thin	58%	58%	66%	72%
	Wildland Urban Interface	559	731	1113	290
Miles of Temporary Road Construction <sup>2</sup>		8.0	14.3	14.3	5.4
Miles of Road Reconditioning <sup>3</sup>		79.4	80.3	90.5	74.3
<b>Watershed Restoration Package Improvements</b>					
Miles (acres) of Decommissioned Roads <sup>4</sup>		13.9 (56)	17.3 (69)	18.9/18.1 (73/74)	37 (147)
Miles of Watershed Road Improvement <sup>5</sup>		16.0	16.6	16.6/8	24.6
Number of Sites of Watershed Road Improvement		1	3	3	3
Stream Crossing Improvements <sup>6</sup>		10	10	13/21	34
Miles of Instream Improvements		10.3	11.1	11.1/3.5	14.6
Miles of Recreation and Trail Improvements		2.3	2.3	2.3/2.3	4.6
Acres of Recreation and Trail Improvements		0.1	8.1	8.1	8.1
Acres of Mine Site Reclamation		7	7	7/2	9
Acres of Soil Restoration in addition to road decommissioning		18	26	32/26	58
Access change for vehicle use - motorized trail use (ATV) to restricted use (miles) <sup>7</sup>		2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
Miles of Access change for vehicle use <sup>8</sup>		1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
<b>Employment Opportunities</b>					
Job Years <sup>9</sup>		163	188	250	152

<sup>1</sup> Alternative D has additional restoration that could be implemented if funding were available. First figure is required watershed improvement projects only; second figure is additional watershed improvement projects.<sup>1</sup> This is an access change, which restricts use to two wheeled vehicles or snowmobiles over snow, from previous all terrain vehicle use (ATV).

<sup>2</sup> Temporary roads would be decommissioned within one to three years of construction.

<sup>3</sup> This category includes a range of activities, such as surface blading, drainage repair, and roadway brushing with occasional culvert installations, slump repairs, and stabilization work. The roadwork in this category is primarily for the purpose of timber removal.

<sup>4</sup> Road decommissioning for this project covers a range of activities, from recontouring to abandonment due to grown in conditions. See Appendix D

<sup>5</sup> Some of the roadwork in this category is also included in the Miles of Road Reconditioning category in this table. Although this roadwork is primarily for the purpose of timber removal, it will also result in an improvement in watershed health.

<sup>6</sup> Stream crossing improvements include upgrading or improving culverts and bridges to improve fish passage and peak water flows and are listed as the number of sites.

<sup>7</sup> This is an access change, which restricts use to two wheeled vehicles or snowmobiles over snow, from previous all terrain vehicle use (ATV).

<sup>8</sup> This category includes 1.5 miles of road-to-trail conversion.

<sup>9</sup> Direct Employment Opportunities, year-long.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER/SECTION	PAGE
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>1</b>
1.0. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. PROJECT AREA LOCATION	2
1.2. PROPOSED ACTION	2
1.3. PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION	2
1.4. PLANNING AND DIRECTION	4
1.5. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	8
1.6. ISSUES	9
1.7. DECISIONS TO BE MADE	11
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>13</b>
2.0 INTRODUCTION	13
2.1 ISSUE DEVELOPMENT	15
2.2 DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES	19
2.3 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES, OBJECTIVES SUMMARY, MITIGATION, AND MONITORING	25
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>3.0 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>3.1. SOILS</b>	<b>44</b>
3.1.1. AMERICAN RIVER	48
3.1.1.1. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: SOIL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	54
3.1.1.2. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: SOIL CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES	65
3.1.2. CROOKED RIVER	70
3.1.2.1. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: SOIL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	76
3.1.2.2. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: SOIL CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES	87
<b>3.2. WATERSHED</b>	<b>97</b>
3.2.1. AMERICAN RIVER	103
3.2.1.1. INDICATOR 1 – WATERSHED CONDITION	104
3.2.1.2. INDICATOR 2 – WATER YIELD	106
3.2.1.3. INDICATOR 3 – SEDIMENT YIELD	110
3.2.1.4. INDICATOR 4 – CHANNEL MORPHOLOGY	116
3.2.1.5. INDICATOR 5 – WATER QUALITY	117
3.2.2. CROOKED RIVER	118
3.2.2.1. INDICATOR 1 – WATERSHED CONDITION	119
3.2.2.2. INDICATOR 2 – WATER YIELD	121
3.2.2.3. INDICATOR 3 – SEDIMENT YIELD	124
3.2.2.4. INDICATOR 4 – CHANNEL MORPHOLOGY	129
3.2.2.5. INDICATOR 5 – WATER QUALITY	130
3.2.3. MAINSTEM SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER	132

<b>CHAPTER/SECTION</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.2.4. WATERSHED SECTION SUMMARY	140
<b>3.3. FISHERIES</b>	<b>142</b>
3.3.1. AMERICAN RIVER ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS	169
3.3.1.1. INDICATOR 1 – SEDIMENT/SUBSTRATE ANALYSIS	169
3.3.1.2. INDICATOR 2 - LARGE WOODY DEBRIS ANALYSIS	173
3.3.1.3. INDICATOR 3 - POOL ANALYSIS	173
3.3.1.4. INDICATOR 4 - WATER YIELD ANALYSIS	173
3.3.1.5. INDICATOR 5 - WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS (TOXICS AND TEMPERATURE)	174
3.3.1.6. INDICATOR 6 - HABITAT CONNECTIVITY/FISH PASSAGE ANALYSIS	175
3.3.2. CROOKED RIVER ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS	176
3.3.2.1. INDICATOR 1 – SEDIMENT/SUBSTRATE ANALYSIS	176
3.3.2.2. INDICATOR 2 - LARGE WOODY DEBRIS ANALYSIS	179
3.3.2.3. INDICATOR 3 - POOL ANALYSIS	179
3.3.2.4. INDICATOR 4 - WATER YIELD ANALYSIS	180
3.3.2.5. INDICATOR 5 - WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS (TOXICS AND TEMPERATURE)	180
3.3.2.6. INDICATOR 6 - HABITAT CONNECTIVITY/FISH PASSAGE ANALYSIS	180
3.3.3. CONSISTENCY WITH THE FOREST PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL LAW	182
3.3.4. CONCLUSIONS	188
<b>3.4. FIRE</b>	<b>190</b>
3.4.1. INDICATOR 1 - FIRE REGIME	192
3.4.2. INDICATOR 2 - FUELS	194
3.4.3. INDICATOR 3 - RISK/HAZARD	198
3.4.4. IRREVERSIBLE OR IRRETRIEVABLE EFFECTS – FIRE REGIME, FUELS, AND RISK/HAZARD	200
3.4.5. SUMMARY	200
<b>3.5. AIR QUALITY</b>	<b>204</b>
<b>3.6. RECREATION</b>	<b>210</b>
3.6.1. AMERICAN RIVER	215
3.6.1.1. INDICATOR 1 – ROS/SILS	215
3.6.1.2. INDICATOR 2 – OTHER RECREATIONAL USES	217
3.6.2. CROOKED RIVER	218
3.6.2.1. INDICATOR 1 – ROS/SILS	219
3.6.2.2. INDICATOR 2 – OTHER RECREATIONAL USES	220
<b>3.7. WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS</b>	<b>225</b>
3.7.1. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	225
3.7.2. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	229
3.7.3. SUMMARY	230
<b>3.8. TRANSPORTATION</b>	<b>232</b>
3.8.1. AMERICAN RIVER	233
3.8.1.1. INDICATOR 1 – MILES OF ROAD	233
3.8.1.2. INDICATOR 2 – MILES OF TRAILS	237



<b>CHAPTER/SECTION</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.8.2. CROOKED RIVER	246
3.8.2.1. INDICATOR 1 – MILES OF ROAD	246
3.8.2.2. INDICATOR 2 – TRAILS	249
3.8.3. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS – AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER	256
3.8.4. IRREVERSIBLE OR IRRETRIEVABLE EFFECTS – AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER	256
3.8.5. SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS FOR TRANSPORTATION – AMERICAN RIVER AND CROOKED RIVER	257
<b>3.9. HERITAGE</b>	<b>264</b>
<b>3.10. VEGETATION</b>	<b>276</b>
3.10.1. VEGETATION – AMERICAN RIVER	280
3.10.1.1. INDICATOR 1 - COMPOSITION (COVER TYPE/SPECIES/LAYER/AGE)	281
3.10.1.2. INDICATOR 2 – DISTURBANCE PATTERNS	295
3.10.1.3. INDICATOR 3 – RARE PLANTS	297
3.10.2. CROOKED RIVER	312
3.10.2.1. INDICATOR 1 - COMPOSITION (COVER TYPE/SPECIES/LAYER/AGE)	313
3.10.2.2. INDICATOR 2 – DISTURBANCE PATTERNS (SEE SECTION 3.10.1.2.)	327
3.10.2.3. INDICATOR 3 – RARE PLANTS (SEE SECTION 3.10.1.3)	327
3.10.3. SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE EFFECTS FOR VEGETATION	327
3.10.4. WEEDS AND NON-NATIVE VEGETATION	329
<b>3.11. WILDLIFE</b>	<b>336</b>
3.11.1. INDICATOR 1 – THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES	341
3.11.2. INDICATOR 2 - SENSITIVE SPECIES	351
3.11.3. INDICATOR 3 – OTHER MANAGEMENT INDICATOR SPECIES	382
3.11.4. INDICATOR 4 – OTHER SPECIES	399
3.11.5. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS SUMMARY (ALL TERRESTRIAL SPECIES)	404
3.11.6. IRREVERSIBLE, IRRETRIEVABLE EFFECTS (ALL TERRESTRIAL SPECIES)	408
3.11.7. OLD GROWTH HABITAT ANALYSIS	411
<b>3.12. SOCIO-ECONOMIC</b>	<b>425</b>
<b>3.13. WILDERNESS, INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS, AND AREAS WITH POSSIBLE UNROADED CHARACTERISTICS</b>	<b>433</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>449</b>
4.1. PREPARERS	449
4.2. DISTRIBUTION LIST	450
<b>PAGE</b>	

<b>APPENDICES</b>	
APPENDIX A: MAPS	A 1-39
APPENDIX B: GLOSSARY	B 1-28
APPENDIX C: REFERENCES	C 1-24
APPENDIX D: WATERSHED, FISH HABITAT	D 1-34
APPENDIX E: SUPPORT FOR WATERSHED, FISH HABITAT ANALYSIS	E 1-30
APPENDIX F: ROAD MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES	F 1-14
APPENDIX G: FUEL MODELS	G 1-2
APPENDIX H: TREATMENTS BY ALTERNATIVE	H 1-8
APPENDIX I: MONITORING PLAN	I 1-8
APPENDIX J: TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE POPULATIONS VIABILITY SUMMARY RELATED TO THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	J 1-18
APPENDIX K: COARSE WOODY DEBRIS, SNAG, AND GREEN TREE RETENTION GUIDELINES	K 1-4
APPENDIX L: CREATED OPENINGS > 40 ACRES	L 1-2
APPENDIX M: RESPONSE TO COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	M 1-182
APPENDIX N: HABITAT TYPE GROUPS	N 1-2
APPENDIX O: NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT/EVALUATION – SUMMARY OF EFFECTS FOR THREATENED PLANT SPECIES – OCTOBER 2004 – FOR THE AMERICAN RIVER/CROOKED RIVER PROJECT AREA	O 1-2
APPENDIX P: BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT FOR LISTED AND SENSITIVE SPECIES (STEELHEAD TROUT, REDBAND TROUT, BULL TROUT, FALL CHINOOK SALMON, SPRING CHINOOK SALMON, WESTSLOPE CUTTHROAT TROUT, AND LAMPREY)	P 1-148
APPENDIX Q – BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT FOR ESA LISTED TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE SPECIES	Q 1-24

## INDEX OF TABLES

TABLE NUMBER/NAME	PAGE
2.1 - Alternatives in the American River Watershed.	22
2.2 - Alternatives in the Crooked River Watershed.	23
2.3 - Project Design and Mitigation Measures	27
2.4 - ALTERNATIVES IN THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	35
3.1 – PROJECTS CONSIDERED FOR CUMULATIVE EFFECTS WITHIN AND ADJACENT TO THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT AREA	39
3.2- NPNF TIMBER HARVEST HISTORY IN AMERICAN RIVER	42
3.3 – HISTORIC ROAD CONSTRUCTION – AMERICAN RIVER	42
3.4 – NPNF TIMBER HARVEST HISTORY IN CROOKED RIVER	43
3.5 – HISTORIC ROAD CONSTRUCTION – CROOKED RIVER	43
3.6 – INDICATORS OF SOIL COMPACTION AND DISPLACEMENT – AMERICAN RIVER	54
3.7 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE COMPACTION AND DISPLACEMENT: AMERICAN RIVER	56
3.8 – INDICATORS OF SURFACE AND SUBSTRATUM EROSION	58
3.9 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE SOIL EROSION: AMERICAN RIVER	60
3.10 – INDICATOR OF MASS EROSION: AMERICAN RIVER	61
3.11 – INDICATOR OF CUMULATIVE MASS EROSION: AMERICAN RIVER	63
3.12 – INDICATOR OF COMPLIANCE WITH SOIL QUALITY STANDARDS: AMERICAN RIVER	64
3.13 – INDICATORS OF SOIL POTASSIUM AND NITROGEN LOSS: AMERICAN RIVER	65
3.14 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE SOIL POTASSIUM AND NITROGEN LOSS: AMERICAN RIVER	67
3.15 – INDICATOR OF LOSS OF SOIL WOOD: AMERICAN RIVER	68
3.16 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE SOIL WOOD LOSS: AMERICAN RIVER	69
3.17 – INDICATORS OF SOIL COMPACTION AND DISPLACEMENT: CROOKED RIVER	76
3.18 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE SOIL COMPACTION AND DISPLACEMENT: CROOKED RIVER	78
3.19 – INDICATORS OF SOIL EROSION: CROOKED RIVER	79
3.20 – INDICATORS OF SOIL EROSION: ALTERNATIVE A	80
3.21 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE SOIL EROSION: CROOKED RIVER	81
3.22 – INDICATOR OF MASS EROSION: CROOKED RIVER	82
3.23 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE MASS EROSION: CROOKED RIVER	84
3.24 – INDICATORS OF DIRECT SOIL EFFECTS BY ALTERNATIVE: CROOKED RIVER	85
3.25 – INDICATORS OF SOIL POTASSIUM AND NITROGEN LOSS: CROOKED RIVER	87
3.26 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE POTASSIUM AND NITROGEN LOSS: CROOKED RIVER	88
3.27 – INDICATOR OF SOIL WOOD LOSS: CROOKED RIVER	89
3.28 – INDICATORS OF CUMULATIVE SOIL WOOD EFFECTS BY ALTERNATIVE:	91

<b>TABLE NUMBER/NAME</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
CROOKED RIVER	
3.29 – FORESTWIDE STANDARDS FOR SOILS	97
3.30 – NUMBER OF POTENTIALLY AFFECTED WATER USES – AMERICAN RIVER	104
3.31 – WATERSHED CONDITION INDICATORS	104
3.32 – POST-PROJECT ROAD DENSITY BY ALTERNATIVE	105
3.33 – PERCENT (%) ECA BY ALTERNATIVE (2005)	107
3.34 – PERCENT (%) ECA FOR 2005 (INCLUDING EASTSIDE TOWNSHIP PROJECT)	109
3.35 – PERCENT (%) OVER BASE SEDIMENT YIELD BY ALTERNATIVE	110
3.36 – PERCENT (%) OVER BASE SEDIMENT YIELD (INCLUDING EASTSIDE TOWNSHIP PROJECT).	114
3.37 – SUMMARY OF 2003 WATER TEMPERATURE DATA	117
3.38 – NUMBER OF POTENTIALLY AFFECTED WATER USES – CROOKED RIVER	119
3.39 – WATERSHED CONDITION INDICATORS	120
3.40 – POST-PROJECT ROAD DENSITY BY ALTERNATIVE	120
3.41 – PERCENT (%) ECA BY ALTERNATIVE (2005)	121
3.42 – PERCENT (%) ECA FOR 2005 (INCLUDING WHISKEY SOUTH PROJECT)	123
3.43 – ESTIMATED SEDIMENT YIELD OVER BASE FOR EACH ALTERNATIVE BY PRESCRIPTION WATERSHED IN CROOKED RIVER	124
3.44 – PERCENT (%) OVER BASE SEDIMENT YIELD (INCLUDING WHISKEY SOUTH)	127
3.45 – SUMMARY OF 2003 WATER TEMPERATURE DATA	130
3.46 – SEDIMENT YIELD FROM AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVERS TO THE SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER	137
3.47 – SUMMARY OF WATER TEMPERATURE DATA FOR SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER	139
3.48 – COMPLIANCE WITH FOREST PLAN WATER STANDARDS	141
3.49 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR WATER QUALITY THAT DO NOT APPLY TO THIS PROJECT	142
3.50 – KNOWN AND SUSPECTED DISTRIBUTION OF TROUT, SALMON AND CHAR IN AMERICAN RIVER	155
3.51 – AMERICAN RIVER EXISTING CONDITION OF FISH HABITAT INDICATORS COMPARED TO OBJECTIVES	160
3.52 – EXISTING CONDITION OF SELECT FISHSED VARIABLES WHICH ARE RELEVANT TO THE DEPOSITED SEDIMENT INDICATOR	160
3.53 – EXISTING STREAM CROSSINGS AMERICAN RIVER	161
3.54 – KNOWN AND SUSPECTED DISTRIBUTION OF TROUT, SALMON AND CHAR IN CROOKED RIVER	163
3.55 – CROOKED RIVER EXISTING CONDITION OF FISH HABITAT INDICATORS COMPARED TO OBJECTIVES	166
3.56 – EXISTING CONDITION OF SELECT FISHED VARIABLES WHICH ARE RELEVANT TO THE DEPOSITED SEDIMENT INDICATOR	166

<b>TABLE NUMBER/NAME</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.57 – EXISTING STREAM CROSSINGS CROOKED RIVER	167
3.58 – COMPARISON OF PREDICTED COBBLE EMBEDDEDNESS (CE) BY ALTERNATIVE	170
3.59 – COMPARISON OF SUMMER REARING CAPACITY (SRC) BY ALTERNATIVE	170
3.60 – COMPARISON OF WINTER REARING CAPACITY (WRC) BY ALTERNATIVE	170
3.61 – AMERICAN RIVER MILES OF STREAM WITH IMPROVED ACCESS	175
3.62 – COMPARISON OF PREDICTED COBBLE EMBEDDEDNESS (CE) BY ALTERNATIVE	176
3.63 – COMPARISON OF SUMMER REARING CAPACITY (SRC) BY ALTERNATIVE	176
3.64 – COMPARISON OF WINTER REARING CAPACITY (WRC) BY ALTERNATIVE	177
3.65 – CROOKED RIVER MILES OF STREAM WITH IMPROVED ACCESS	181
3.66 – COMPLIANCE WITH FOREST PLAN FISHERIES RESOURCE STANDARDS	189
3.67 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES THAT DO NOT APPLY TO THIS PROJECT	190
3.68 – FIRE REGIMES ACREAGE IN THE PROJECT AREA	193
3.69 – CURRENT FUEL MODELS PRESENT IN THE PROJECT AREA	195
3.70 – PREDICTED FUEL MODELS IN YEAR 2014 BY ALTERNATIVE – AMERICAN RIVER DRAINAGE	197
3.71 – PREDICTED FUEL MODELS IN YEAR 2014 BY ALTERNATIVE – CROOKED RIVER DRAINAGE	197
3.72 – TREATMENT ACRES LOCATED WITHIN WILDLANDS URBAN INTERFACE (WUI)	198
3.73 – HAZARD ASSESSMENT FOR PROJECT AREA	198
3.74 – POST TREATMENT FIRE HAZARD IN YEAR 2014 BY ALTERNATIVE	199
3.75 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – FIRE/FUELS	203
3.76 – BURN TYPE ACREAGE BY ALTERNATIVE	207
3.77 – APPROXIMATE ANNUAL EMISSIONS BY ALTERNATIVE, BASED ON 10 YEAR IMPLEMENTATION	208
3.78 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – FIRE/FUELS	210
3.79 – NEZ PERCE FOREST PLAN VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES, SCENIC INTEGRITY LEVELS, AND RECREATION OPPORTUNITY SPECTRUM CLASSES FOR THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT AREA	214
3.80 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – RECREATION RESOURCES	223
3.81 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR RECREATION AND VISUAL RESOURCES THAT DO NOT APPLY	224
3.82 – WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT CRITERIA APPLICABLE TO THE SOUTH FORK OF THE CLEARWATER	225
3.83 – OUTSTANDING RESOURCE VALUES APPLICABLE TO THE SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER	228
3.84 – MANAGEMENT DIRECTION FOR ELIGIBLE RIVERS	229
3.85 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – WILD, SCENIC, AND RECREATION RIVERS RESOURCES	231
3.86 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR WILD, SCENIC, AND RECREATION RIVERS	231

<b>TABLE NUMBER/NAME</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
THAT DO NOT APPLY	
3.87 – CURRENT ACCESS PRESCRIPTIONS – AMERICAN RIVER ROADS	234
3.88 – OBJECTIVE MAINTENANCE LEVELS – AMERICAN RIVER ROADS	234
3.89 – TRAFFIC SERVICE LEVELS – AMERICAN RIVER ROADS	234
3.90 – ROAD SURFACE TYPE – AMERICAN RIVER ROADS	2234
3.91 – ROAD DECOMMISSIONING AND CORRESPONDING ACCESS CHANGE – AMERICAN RIVER ROADS	236
3.92 – ROADWORK ACTIVITY BY ALTERNATIVE – AMERICAN RIVER ROADS	237
3.93 – SYSTEM TRAILS – AMERICAN RIVER	238
3.94 – MILES OF TRAILS OPEN TO TRAIL USERS – AMERICAN RIVER	238
3.95 – ALTERNATIVE B HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – AMERICAN RIVER	240
3.96 – ALTERNATIVE C HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – AMERICAN RIVER	241
3.97 –ALTERNATIVE D, HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – AMERICAN RIVER	243
3.98 – ALTERNATIVE E, HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – AMERICAN RIVER	244
3.99 – ALTERNATIVES B, C, D, AND E – CHANGE IN ACCESS PRESCRIPTION FOR TRAIL 887	246
3.100 – CURRENT ACCESS PRESCRIPTIONS – CROOKED RIVER ROADS	246
3.101 – OBJECTIVE MAINTENANCE LEVELS – CROOKED RIVER ROADS	246
3.102 – TRAFFIC SERVICE LEVELS – CROOKED RIVER ROADS	247
3.103 – ROAD JURISDICTION – CROOKED RIVER ROADS	247
3.104 – ROAD SURFACE TYPE – CROOKED RIVER ROADS	247
3.105 – ROAD DECOMMISSIONING AND CORRESPONDING ACCESS CHANGE – CROOKED RIVER ROADS	248
3.106 – ROADWORK ACTIVITY BY ALTERNATIVE – CROOKED RIVER ROADS	249
3.107 – SYSTEM TRAILS – CROOKED RIVER	249
3.108 – MILES OF TRAILS OPEN TO TRAIL USERS – CROOKED RIVER	250
3.109 – GROOMED SNOWMOBILE TRAIL SYSTEM – CROOKED RIVER	250
3.110 – ALTERNATIVE B HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – CROOKED RIVER	251
3.111 – ALTERNATIVE C HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – CROOKED RIVER	252
3.112 – ALTERNATIVE D HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – CROOKED RIVER	253
3.113 – ALTERNATIVE E HARVEST UNITS AND TRAILS – CROOKED RIVER	254
3.114 – ALTERNATIVES B, C, D, AND E – CHANGE IN ACCESS PRESCRIPTION	256
3.115 – MILES OF TRAILS	257
3.116 – AMERICAN RIVER AREA – CHANGES IN TRAIL ACCESS PRESCRIPTIONS	259
3.117 – CROOKED RIVER AREA – CHANGES IN TRAIL ACCESS PRESCRIPTIONS	260
3.118 – UNITS AND TRAILS	261
3.119 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – ROADS AND TRAILS	261
3.120 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR TRANSPORTATION THAT DO NO APPLY	264
3.121 – TIMING AND AVAILABILITY OF PLANT FOODS IN NEZ PERCE TERRITORY	266

<b>TABLE NUMBER/NAME</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.122 – A SAMPLE OF DREDGING LOCATIONS NEAR THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT AREA, AND THEIR DATES OF OPERATIONS	270
3.123 – HISTORICAL CLASSIFICATION AND CHRONOLOGY OF LAND ENCOMPASSING THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	271
3.124 – LIST OF CULTURAL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT APE	273
3.125 – LIST OF CULTURAL PROPERTIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER PROJECT THAT HAVE BEEN DETERMIEND ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES	275
3.126 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – HERITAGE	275
3.127 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR HERITAGE THAT DO NOT APPLY	276
3.128 – AMERICAN RIVER PORTION DOMINANT COVER TYPES	283
3.129 – UNMANAGED STAND DENSITIES IN THE AMERICAN RIVER AREA	285
3.130 – AMERICAN RIVER PROPORTION OF EXISTING SIZE CLASS	292
3.131 – KNOWN AND POTENTIAL SENSITIVE PLANTS WITH THE PROJECT AREA	299
3.132 – COMPARISON OF POTENTIAL SENSITIVE PLANT HABITAT AFFECTED BY ALTERNATIVE	305
3.133 – SENSITIVE PLANT EFFECTS DETERMINATIONS	308
3.134 – CROOKED RIVER DOMINANT COVER TYPE CODES	314
3.135 – UNMANAGED STAND DENSITIES IN THE CROOKED RIVER AREA	317
3.136 – CROOKED RIVER PROPORTION OF EXISTING SIZE CLASS	324
3.137 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – VEGETATION	329
3.138 – WEED SUSCEPTIBILITY RATING	332
3.139 – ACRES OF EXPANSION RISK	333
3.140 – DISTURBANCE BY ALTERNATIVE	333
3.141 – PROBABILITY OF WEED SPREAD, RATING MATRIX	334
3.142 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR RANGE RESOURCES	335
3.143 – WILDLIFE SPECIES EFFECTS DETERMINATIONS	339
3.144 – THE NO ACTION (ALTERNATIVE A) HABITAT CONDITIONS AND ACREAGE WITHIN THE LAUS ARE LISTED BELOW	344
3.145 – SUMMARY OF EFFECTS ON LAU 3020306 (AMERICAN RIVER)	347
3.146 – SUMMARY OF EFFECTS ON LAU 305001 (CROOKED RIVER)	347
3.147 – HABITAT ACRES WITHIN AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER WATERSHEDS	359
3.148 – INVENTORY/ANALYSIS DATA FOR GOSHAWK FORAGING AND NESTING HABITAT	360
3.149 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF NORTHERN GOSHAWK HABITAT MODIFIED BY EACH ACTION ALTERNATIVE (AMERICAN RIVER)	362
3.150 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF NORTHERN GOSHAWK HABITAT MODIFIED BY EACH ACTION ALTERNATIVE (CROOKED RIVER)	362
3.151 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF FISHER HABITAT	371



<b>TABLE NUMBER/NAME</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.152 – DATA AT THREE SCALES FOR PERCENT FISHER SUMMER AND WINTER HABITAT	372
3.153 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF FISHER HABITAT MODIFIED BY EACH ACTION ALTERNATIVE (AMERICAN RIVER)	374
3.154 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF FISHER HABITAT MODIFIED BY EACH ACTION ALTERNATIVE (CROOKED RIVER)	374
3.155 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF BLACK-BACKED WOODPECKER HABITAT	378
3.156 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF BLACK-BACKED WOODPECKER HABITAT MODIFIED BY ACTION ALTERNATIVE	379
3.157 – PERCENT ELK HABITAT EFFECTIVENESS BY EAU AND ALTERNATIVE	385
3.158 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF PILEATED WOODPECKER NESTING HABITAT	389
3.159 – FOREST INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS DATA FOR PILEATED WOODPECKER HABITAT	390
3.160 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF PILEATED WOODPECKER NESTING HABITAT MODIFIED BY EACH ACTION ALTERNATIVE (AMERICAN RIVER)	391
3.161 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF PILEATED WOODPECKER FORAGING HABITAT MODIFIED BY EACH ACTION ALTERNATIVE (CROOKED RIVER)	392
3.162 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF PINE MARTEN HABITAT	395
3.163 – FOREST INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS DATA FOR MARTIN HABITAT	396
3.164 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF PINE MARTEN HABITAT MODIFIED BY EACH ACTION ALTERNATIVE	397
3.165 – IDAHO PARTNERS IN FLIGHT HIGH PRIORITY SPECIES THOUGHT TO OCCUR IN THE PROJECT AREA	400
3.166 – AVERAGE PATCH SIZE AND SHAPE OF LARGE TREES	406
3.167 – LARGE TREE ACREAGE CUMULATIVE CHANGES (RETAINED ACRES)	406
3.168 – FOREST PLAN COMPLIANCE – WILDLIFE RESOURCES	409
3.169 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR WILDLIFE RESOURCES THAT DO NOT APPLY	410
3.170 – SUMMARY OF OLD GROWTH QUALIFYING CRITERIA	411
3.171 – OLD GROWTH HABITAT IN THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER DRAINAGES	414
3.172 – SUMMARY OF OLD GROWTH FIELD EXAMS	415
3.173 – ESTIMATES OF PERCENT OLD GROWTH FOR LAND MANAGED BY THE NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST, INCLUDING 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	415
3.174 – SUMMARY OF PERCENT OF OLD GROWTH HABITAT, BASE DON FIA ANALYSIS FOR AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER WATERSHEDS (WITH 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)	416
3.175 – SUMMARY OF PERCENT OF OLD GROWTH HABITAT, FOREST-WIDE, ON THE NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST, BASED ON FIA ANALYSIS (WITH 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)	416
3.175 – PERCENT HARVEST OF HISTORICAL MATURE FORESTS	419
3.177 – ESTIMATES OF SNAGS PER ACRES FOR LAND MANAGED BY THE NEZ PERCE NATIONAL FOREST, INCLUDING 90 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	421



<b>TABLE NUMBER/NAME</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.178 – ESTIMATES OF THE NUMBER OF SNAGS PER ACRE BY DIAMETER GROUP AND 4 <sup>TH</sup> CODE HUC AND ASSOCIATED CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	422
3.179 - DIRECT EMPLOYMENT EFFECTS	426
3.180 – TOTAL VOLUME	427
3.181 – DEFINITIONS	328
3.182 - ECONOMICS - ALTERNATIVE B	429
3.183 - ECONOMICS - ALTERNATIVE C	430
3.184 - ECONOMICS - ALTERNATIVE D	431
3.185 - ECONOMICS - ALTERNATIVE E	432
3.186 – SUMMARY OF ACRES AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED ACTION	437
3.187 – NUMBER OF TREATMENT ACRES OFR EACH UNROADED AREA BY ALTERNATIVE	443
3.188 – FOREST PLAN STANDARDS FOR WILDERNESS RESOURCES THAT DO NOT APPLY	448
A.1 – INDEX OF MAPS	A-1
D.1 - SUMMARY OF PROJECTS BY ALTERNATIVE	D-1
D.2 - MIDDLE AMERICAN RIVER – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-4
D.3 - MIDDLE AMERICAN RIVER – INSTREAM IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-4
D.4 - MIDDLE AMERICAN RIVER – RECREATION AND TRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-5
D.5 - MIDDLE AMERICAN RIVER – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D05
D.6 - UPPER AMERICAN RIVER – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-6
D.7 - EAST FORK AMERICAN RIVER – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-6
D.8 - EAST FORK AMERICAN RIVER – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-6
D.9 - EAST FORK AMERICAN RIVER – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-7
D.10 - KIRKS FORK – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-7
D.11 - KIRKS FORK – WATERSHED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	D-8
D.12 - KIRKS FORK – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-8
D.13 - KIRKS FORK – RECREATION AND TRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-8
D.14 - KIRKS FORK – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-9
D.15 - WHITAKER CREEK – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-9
D.16 - WHITAKER CREEK – WATERSHED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	D-9
D.17 - WHITAKER CREEK – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-10
D.18 - WHITAKER CREEK – INSTREAM IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-10
D.19 - WHITAKER CREEK – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-10
D.20 - QUEEN CREEK – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-11
D.21 - QUEEN CREEK – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-11
D.22 - QUEEN CREEK – INSTREAM IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-12
D.23 - QUEEN CREEK – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-12
D.24 - FLINT CREEK – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-13

<b>TABLE NUMBER/NAME</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
D.25 - FLINT CREEK – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-14
D.26 - FLINT CREEK – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-14
D.27 - BOX SING CREEK – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-15
D.28 - BOX SING CREEK – WATERSHED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	D-15
D.29 - BOX SING CREEK – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-15
D.30 - BOX SING CREEK – RECREATION AND TRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-15
D.31 - BOX SING CREEK – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-16
D.32 - LOWER AMERICAN RIVER – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-16
D.33 - LOWER CROOKED RIVER – EXISTING ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-17
D.34 - LOWER CROOKED RIVER – WATERSHED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	D-18
D.35 - LOWER CROOKED RIVER – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-18
D.36 - LOWER CROOKED RIVER – INSTREAM IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-19
D.37 - LOWER CROOKED RIVER – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-19
D.38 - RELIEF CREEK – ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-20
D.39 - RELIEF CREEK – WATERSHED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	D-22
D.40 - RELIEF CREEK – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-23
D.41 - RELIEF CREEK – INSTREAM IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-25
D.42 - RELIEF CREEK – SOIL RESTORATION	D-25
D.43 - MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER – ROADS TO BE DECOMMISSIONED	D-26
D.44 - MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER – WATERSHED ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	D-27
D.45 - MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER – STREAM CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	D-28
D.46 - MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER – INSTREAM IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-29
D.47 - MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER – RECREATION AND TRAIL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	D-30
D.48 - MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER – MINE SITE RESTORATION	D-31
D.49 – MIDDLE CROOKED RIVER – SOIL RESTORATION PROJECTS	D-32
E.1 - FISHERY/WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES – AMERICAN RIVER	E-1
E.2 - FISHERY/WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES – CROOKED RIVER	E-2
E.3 - PERCENT STREAM LENGTH BY GRADIENT CLASSES – AMERICAN RIVER	E-7
E.4 - PERCENT STREAM LENGTH BY GRADIENT CLASSES – CROOKED RIVER	E-7
E.5 - WATER QUALITY DATA – AMERICAN RIVER	E-22
E.6 - WATER QUALITY DATA – CROOKED RIVER	#-22
E.7 - AQUATIC TREND ANALYSIS – AMERICAN RIVER	E-23
E.8 - AQUATIC TREND SUMMARY – AMERICAN RIVER	E-25
E-9 - AQUATIC TREND ANALYSIS – CROOKED RIVER	E-26
E-10 - AQUATIC TREND SUMMARY – CROOKED RIVER	E-29
F.1 - EXISTING CONDITION – AMERICAN RIVER	F-3
F.2 - EXISTING CONDITION – CROOKED RIVER	F-7
F.3 - ROADS PROPOSED FOR DECOMMISSIONING BY ALTERNATIVE – AMERICAN	F-12

TABLE NUMBER/NAME	PAGE
RIVER	
F.4 - ROADS PROPOSED FOR DECOMMISSIONING BY ALTERNATIVE – CROOKED RIVER	F-14
H.1 - TREATMENTS BY ALTERNATIVES – CODES	H-1
H.2 - AMERICAN RIVER TREATMENTS	H-1
H.3 - CROOKED RIVER TREATMENTS	H-5
J.1 - ESTIMATED ACRES OF FISHER HABITAT WITHIN THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER DRAINAGES	J-6
J-2 – FOREST INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS DATA AT THREE SCALES FOR PRECENT FISHER SUMMER AND WINTER HABITAT, INCLUDING 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS (CI LOW AND CI HIGH)	J-6
J-3 – FOREST INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS DATA AT THREE SCALES FOR PERCENT GOSHAWK FORAGING AND NESTING HABITAT, INCLUDING 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS (CI LOW AND CI HIGH)	J-9
J-4 – ESTIMATED ACRES OF PINE MARTEN HABITAT WITHIN THE AMERICAN AND CROOKED RIVER DRAINAGES	J-10
J-5 – FOREST INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS DATA AT THREE SCALES FOR PERCENT MARTEN HABITAT, INCLUDING 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS (CI LOW AND CI HIGH)	J-11
J-6 – FOREST INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS DATA AT THREE SCALES FOR PERCENT PILEATED WOODPECKER NESTING AND FORAGING HABITAT INCLUDING 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS (CI LOW AND CI HIGH)	J-12
K-1 – RECOMMEND COURSE WOODY DEBRIS PRESCRIPTIONS	K-1
K-2 – SNAG RETENTION GUIDELINES	K-2
K-3 – GREEN TREE SNAG-REPLACEMENT GUIDELINES	K-3
L-1 – UNITS CREATING OPENINGS > 40 ACRES	L-1

Note: Tables not listed here for Appendices P and Q – Biological Assessments.

## INDEX OF FIGURES

FIGURE NUMBER/NAME	PAGE
3.1 – AMERICAN RIVER ECA 1870-2004	108
3.2 – AMERICAN RIVER ECA 2000-2012	109
3.3 – LOWER AMERICAN RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD	111
3.4 – AMERICAN RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD 1870-2004	113
3.5 – AMERICAN RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD 2000-2012	115
3.6 – CROOKED RIVER ECA 1870-2004	122
3.7 – CROOKED RIVER ECA 2000-2012	124
3.8 – LOWER CROOKED RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD	125
3.9 – CROOKED RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD 1870-2004	127
3.10 – CROOKED RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD 2000-2012	128
3.11 – SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER ECA 1870-2004	133
3.12 – SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER ECA 2000-2012	134
3.13 – SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD 1870-2004	135
3.14 – SOUTH FORK CLEARWATER RIVER SEDIMENT YIELD 2000-2012	136
3.15 – IDAHO-MONTANA AIRSHEDS	205
3.16 – SLUICE BOX AND MINER AT WORK IN NORTH-CENTRAL IDAHO	268
3.17 – HYDRAULIC OPERATIONS IN PROGRESS AT THE OROGRANDE-FRISCO MINE	268
3.18 – DREDGE PROCESSING LOW-GRADE PLACER GRAVELS ON THE CROOKED RIVER ABOUT 1938	269
3.19 – AMERICAN RIVER VRUS	281
3.20 – AMERICAN RIVER PORTION – PREVIOUS HARVEST	282
3.21 – AMERICAN RIVER PORTION – DOMINANT SPECIES	283
3.22 – AMERICAN RIVER PORTION – SIZE CLASS DISTRIBUTION	284
3.23 – UNMANAGED STAND DENSITIES IN THE AMERICAN RIVER AREA	285
3.24 – AMERICAN RIVER SIZE CLASS BY ALTERNATIVE	292
3.25 – CROOKED RIVER VRUS	312
3.26 – CROOKED RIVER PORTION – PREVIOUS HARVEST	313
3.27 – CROOKED RIVER PORTION – DOMINANT SPECIES	314
3.28 – TREE SIZE CLASSES	315
3.29 – CROOKED RIVER PORTION – DOMINANT TYPE/SIZE	316
3.30 – CROOKED RIVER PORTION – PERCENT CANOPY COVER	317
3.31 – CROOKED RIVER PORTION – SIZE CLASS BY ALTERNATIVE	324
E.1 - COMPOSITE V PURE WATERSHEDS – AMERICAN RIVER	E-3
E.2 - COMPOSITE V PURE WATERSHEDS – CROOKED RIVER	E-4
E.3 - ANNUAL HYDROGRAPH	E-9
E.4A-H - SEDIMENT YIELD – AMERICAN RIVER	E-13
E.5A-H - SEDIMENT YIELD – CROOKED RIVER	E-16
E.6A-D - AMERICAN RIVER 2003 WATER TEMPERATURE	E-18
E.7A-D - CROOKED RIVER 2003 WATER TEMPERATURE	E-20

Note: Figures not listed here for Appendices P and Q – Biological Assessments.